

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/761,031	Applicant(s) WHEELER ET AL.	
	Examiner Isaac N. Hamilton	Art Unit 3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Isaac N. Hamilton. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. W. R. Duke Taylor. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11/16/05

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1+20

Identification of prior art discussed: Holzer, Jr. ; Lai

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The orientation of the blade 120 in Lai was discussed due to the apparent misrepresentative characteristic of being rotated 90° out of phase in figure 1 compared to figure 3. Additionally, the first portion (b) of Lai was determined to be different from applicant's first portion in that it is not a monolithic structure when combined with the element (6) in Lai. Moreover, the side walls in Lai appear to not be continuous with element (6) in Lai. The definitions of adjacent and juxtaposed were compared in order to show that juxtaposed defines a side-by-side relationship.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required